



CITY OF ATLANTA

SUITE 1900
55 TRINITY AVENUE, SW
ATLANTA, GA 30303
(404) 330-6204 Fax: (404) 658-7705
Internet Home Page: www.atlantaga.gov

DEPARTMENT OF PROCUREMENT
Adam L. Smith, Esq., CPPO, CPPB, CPPM, CPP,
CIPC, CISCC, CIGPM, CPPC
Chief Procurement Officer
asmith@atlantaga.gov

Kasim Reed
Mayor

July 20, 2016

INTERESTED PROPONENT:

Re: FC-8934, Flight Tracking System at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport

Attached is one (1) copy of **Addendum No. 2**, which is hereby made a part of the above-referenced projects.

For additional information, please contact the following personnel for the respective solicitation: FC-8934, Jessica A. Boston, Contracting Officer, at (404) 330-6903, or via email at jaboston@atlantaga.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Adam L. Smith".

Adam L. Smith

ALS:jab



Addendum No. 2

Re: FC-8934, Flight Tracking System at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport

July 20, 2016

Page 2

This Addendum forms a part of the Request for Proposals and modifies the original solicitation package as noted below:

- **REVISION TO PART 5, SERVICES AGREEMENT, EXHIBIT A SCOPE OF SERVICES**

Delete: Section 2.3.23.6.5, in its entirety.

- **Questions and answers.**

Proposals are due **Wednesday, July 27, 2016**, and should be time stamped no later than 2:00 p.m. EST on this day, and delivered to the address below:

Adam L. Smith, Esq., CPPO, CPPB, CPPM, CPP
CPIC, CISCC, CIGPM, CPPC
Chief Procurement Officer
Department of Procurement
55 Trinity Avenue, S.W.
City Hall South, Suite 1900
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

.....
*****All other information remains unchanged*****



Addendum No. 2

Re: FC-8934, Flight Tracking System at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport

July 20, 2016

Page 3

Acknowledgement of Addendum No. 2

Proponents must sign below and return this form with its proposal to the Department of Procurement, 55 Trinity Avenue, City Hall South, Suite 1900, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 as acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum on this ____ day of _____, 2016.

Legal Company Name of Respondent

Signature of Authorized Representative

Title

Date



Addendum No. 2

FC-8934, Flight Tracking System at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport

Questions and Answers

1.	What is the timetable, planning and next steps with respect to the evaluation of the proposals and contract award? Will there be an opportunity to give a demo and presentation?
Answer	<i>Regarding the timetable question, the key date are 1) the Proposal Due Date of July 27, 2016. As part of the evaluation process, each Proponent will be invited for an interview for product demonstration. The same, specific questions will be asked of each Proponent for demonstration purposes.</i>
2	Can proponent request edits and/or exceptions to the proposed Services Agreement/Contract and the Forms and Exhibits that will be part of this contract? What is the best way to document these edits and/or exceptions?
Answer	<i>The City will accept edits that correct typographical and other minor errors. As a reminder, Part 1, Section 14.2 of the RFP states: "Each Proponent shall promptly notify the City on or before 5:00 p.m., Friday, July 1, 2016, in writing should the Proponent find discrepancies, errors, ambiguities or omissions in the RFP, or should the City's intent or meaning appear unclear or ambiguous, or should any other question arise relative to the RFP." Substantive changes to the form of agreement and exceptions to the form of agreement and City requirements will not be accepted. See Part 1, Section 21(e) of the RFP.</i>
3	<p>The SBE target is set at 20% while only a small part of the contract can be subcontracted to a SBE company due to the specific nature of the requested services. Although the SBE percentage has been decreased compared to the previous RFP from 35% to 20% it is still on a high level</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. What type of work would the City of Atlanta preferably like to see addressed by small businesses that are certified under the Small Business Opportunities program, to meet the required SBE participation? b. The contract value will include radar data delivery which increases the value of the contract and therefore the SBE level but it can certainly not be subcontracted to an SBE company. Can the City decrease the SBE %?
Answer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Mayor's Office of Contract Compliance understands the specific nature of the contract. However, all proponents should submit a SBE plan of at least 20% SBE certified companies to fulfill the required SBE goals participation. b. The goal for this contracting opportunity is 20% SBE and unfortunately cannot be decreased, lowered or waived.
4	What kind of information would be helpful to support the interpretation of European professional insurance policies that offer the required coverage, but have differences in

	wording compared to US insurance policies?
Answer	Companies providing insurance or bonds under this agreement must be authorized to conduct and transact insurance contracts by the Insurance Commissioner, State of Georgia.
5	<p>Bonding requirements. The RFP asks for a Proposal Bond, a Payment Bond and a Performance Bond. We have some questions related to these Bonds.</p> <p>a. Form 10: in Form 10 on page 41 of the RFP document is stated: “...Performance and Payment Bonds payable to the City of Atlanta, Georgia, each in the amount of <u>one hundred percent (100%) of the total Contract price....etc...</u>”. In Exhibit D-1 Item G. on page 102 however the amount of the Bonds is specified as “...equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the <u>first year’s payment amount.....</u>”. This is not consistent. Which one should be used?</p> <p>b. The Payment Bond should cover payments to subcontractors delivering labor, materials, equipment etc. Assuming the Payment Bond should be at a level of the first year’s payment amount can we interpret this as the first year’s amount that we will pay to a specific subcontractor? Which makes sense as it therewith covers the City’s risk. And should we specify this in our Proposal?</p>
Answer	At, or prior to, Service Provider’s execution of the Agreement, Service Provider must, at its own expense, deliver to the City a Performance and a Payment Bond each in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the first year’s contract value as specified in the Agreement, naming the City as co-obligee and issued by a surety company or companies in such form as approved by the City’s Attorney.
6	Section 2.3.23.6.5 states that any concrete and support poles (i.e. wooden, utility, etc.) need to be removed. In the first bid release, it said that poles “will stay.” Please clarify that you do in fact want the poles to be removed.
Answer	<i>All support poles will stay in place. Section 2.3.23.6.5 will be removed from Exhibit A Scope of Services.</i>
7	If The City wants the poles to be removed, do you want the ground to be returned to its original condition (i.e. removing the pole all the way into the ground and filling the hole with dirt), or is cutting down the pole at ground level acceptable?
Answer	<i>Please see answer no. 6.</i>
8	Our understanding is that some of the noise monitoring terminals are mounted on poles owned by The City and others are mounted on poles owned by third parties. If The City wants the poles to be removed, will you please specify which sites the poles should be

Addendum No. 2

FC-8934, Flight Tracking System at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport

Questions and Answers

	removed at so that the Proponent only removes poles owned by The City and not third parties, unless the third party has given authorization?
Answer	<i>Please see answer no. 6.</i>
9	Are vendors allowed to submit requested edits to the proposed contract as well as a Data Use Agreement?
Answer	<i>The City will accept edits that correct typographical and other minor errors. As a reminder, Part 1, Section 14.2 of the RFP states: "Each Proponent shall promptly notify the City on or before 5:00 p.m., Friday, July 1, 2016, in writing should the Proponent find discrepancies, errors, ambiguities or omissions in the RFP, or should the City's intent or meaning appear unclear or ambiguous, or should any other question arise relative to the RFP." Substantive changes to the form of agreement and exceptions to the form of agreement and City requirements will not be accepted. See Part 1, Section 21(e) of the RFP.</i>