



## CITY OF ATLANTA

Kasim Reed  
Mayor

SUITE 1900  
55 TRINITY AVENUE, SW  
ATLANTA, GA 30303  
(404) 330-6204 Fax: (404) 658-7705  
Internet Home Page: [www.atlantaga.gov](http://www.atlantaga.gov)

DEPARTMENT OF PROCUREMENT  
Adam L. Smith, Esq., CPPO, CPPB, CPPM, CPP,  
CIPC, CISCC, CIGPM  
Chief Procurement Officer  
[asmith@atlantaga.gov](mailto:asmith@atlantaga.gov)

August 18, 2015

Dear Potential Proponents:

**Re: FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

Attached is one (1) copy of **Addendum Number 3**, which is hereby made a part of the above-referenced project.

For additional information, please contact Mimie L. Woods, CPPB, Contract Administrator, at (404) 330-6271 or by email at [mwoods@atlantaga.gov](mailto:mwoods@atlantaga.gov).

Sincerely,

  
Adam L. Smith

ALS/mlw

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 2**

**ADDENDUM NO. 3**

This Addendum No. 3 forms a part of the Request for Proposals and modifies the original solicitation package and any prior Addenda as noted below and is issued to incorporate the following:

**Clarification:**

- 1) The City will not respond to matters that refer to information or events that predate this Request for Proposals (“RFP”). Responses to questions about this RFP are outlined below.
- 2) The anticipated term for the pilot phase for the 110 devices, shall be for six (6) months. All references made in the RFP or any subsequent addenda, to anticipated terms other than the six (6) months, shall be omitted (e.g. part 5, draft master technology agreement, etc.).

**Revised Required Submittal Forms:**

- 1) Revised Exhibit A.1: Cost Proposal – Attachment No. 1 of this Addendum.
- 2) Revised Part 3: Evaluation of Proposals – Attachment No. 2 of this Addendum.
- 3) There are a total of three (3) required tables that should be submitted with your proposal. For your convenience, all three tables have been added to this Addendum No.3. Please refer to **Attachment No. 3.**
  - Appendix E.1: Revised Table 1: Body Camera Specifications
  - Appendix E.2: Revised Table 2A: Local Server Option
  - Appendix E.3: Revised Table 2B: Cloud Storage System

**Reminders:**

- Proposals will be received on August 20, 2015 by 2:00 p.m. EST and reviewed for responsiveness by the DOP same-day.
- Proponents should anticipate receipt of notification from DOP concerning the time and location for hosting their Oral Interviews/Demonstrations on August 21, 2015. It is required that the Proponent allots the entire calendar day, in order to participate in the Oral Interview/Demonstration session; without exceptions. Upon completion of the Oral Interview/Demonstration session, each Proponent will be required to identify a point of contact for potential negotiations to be had on August 22, 2015.
- The Proponents point of contact for hosting negotiations must have the ability to make critical business decisions. Additionally it is required that he Proponent allots the entire calendar day, for negotiations to be had via phone on August 22, 2015.

**The Proposal due date HAS not been modified and Proposals are due on Thursday, August 20, 2015 and should be time stamped in no later than 2:00 P.M. EST and delivered to the address listed below:**

Adam L. Smith, Esq., CPPO, CPPB, CPPM, CPP  
CIPC, CISCC, CIGPM  
Chief Procurement Officer  
Department of Procurement  
55 Trinity Avenue, S. W.  
City Hall South, Suite 1900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

**\*\*All other pertinent information is to remain unchanged\*\***

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**  
**Addendum No. 3**  
**August 18, 2015**  
**Page 3**

**Acknowledgment of Addendum No. 3**

**Proponents must sign below and return this form with Proposal response to the Department of Procurement.**

Proponents must sign below and return this form with Proposal to the Department of Procurement, 55 Trinity Avenue, City Hall South, Suite 1900, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 as acknowledgment of receipt of this Addendum.

This is to acknowledge receipt of **FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System Addendum No. 3** on this the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Company Name of Proponent

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Authorized Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 4**

**Question No.1**

Regarding Section 8 of Addendum #1 indicates the following:

“8.0 Responses to Requirements for Storage System (Onsite or Cloud)

The Proponent must provide a detailed response to each of the specifications listed in Section 2 Table 2A and/or 2B, as applicable.”

However, the noted Tables 2A and 2B appear to be missing. Please provide or clarify.

**Answer No. 1**

There are a total of three (3) required tables that should be submitted with your proposal. For your convenience, all three tables have been added to this Addendum No.3. Please refer to Attachment No. 3.

**Question No.2**

Will the City consider extending the bid due date and the period of submitting questions beyond the one day allocated? This question is presented because the Addendum #1 containing the important Scope of Work exhibit and other required forms were not published or made available to Proponents until Friday, August 7th at 6:00 p.m. EST and that the period to submit questions is only one day after the bidder’s conference.

**Answer No. 2**

No.

**Questions No.3**

Regarding Exhibit A.1 – Cost Proposal document.

This document requests the cost for a quantity of 110 cameras.

However, the quantity of 250 cameras for the pilot are referenced within the bid documents.

**Answer No. 3**

The quantity is 110.

**Question No.4**

If a Respondent’s business location is outside the State of Georgia and does not currently possess a Certificate of Authority from the Georgia Secretary of State (at the time of submittal) is the respondent disqualified from submitting a response?

**Answer No. 4**

No. Proponents are not required to poses an active business license specifically for the state of Georgia, at the time of submission of their proposal. Please include a copy of your firm’s active business license with your proposal. However, an active business for the state of Georgia may be required at the time of contract award and the Proponent will be expected to comply.

**Question No.5**

Is a Certificate of Authority required for Proponents who do not have a physical presence in the State?

**Answer No. 5**

No. Proponents are not required to poses an active business license specifically for the state of Georgia, at the time of submission of their proposal. Please include a copy of your firm’s active business license with your proposal. However, an active business for the state of Georgia may be required at the time of contract award and the Proponent will be expected to comply.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 5**

**Question No. 6**

Regarding Form 4.2 – Certification of Bonding Ability.

There are multiple references within the bid documents that indicate that bonding for this project is not applicable.

Would the City please clarify the requirement of bonding and whether all forms and documents referencing bonding are to be excluded from Proponents response?

- On page 5 of RFP document, Section 3.1.2 indicates the inclusion of Form 4.2 and Form 8 pertaining to Bonding. On page 11 of RFP document, Section 8. Required Submittal indicates the inclusion of Form 4.2 and Form 8 pertaining to Bonding.
- On page 4 of Addendum #1, Section 3.1.2.1 indicates that this form is not applicable. But the form is included as one of the forms provided in the addendum.
- Regarding Form 8 – Proposal Bond. This form was also included in the addendum.
- Regarding Form 9 – Required Submittal Checklist
- The checklist also has Form 4.2 & Form 8 noted as required submittal documents.

**Answer No. 6**

The RFP is inclusive of all standard submittals documents. Those documents that are not applicable to this solicitation have been noted as such with a (Not Applicable) footnote. Forms 4.2, form 8 and form 9 have footnotes identifying those particular forms as not applicable.

**Question No. 7**

We have a number of architectural options we wish to propose for storage solutions. Will the City/APD accept a proposed solution submission that encompasses a hybrid storage solution consisting of both on-site and cloud based components?

**Answer No. 7**

Proponents must include all aspects, terms and conditions within their proposal and also reflected in their cost proposal.

**Question No. 8**

Would the City accept a proposed storage solution(s) where the City provides the server(s) equipment for the Body Camera and Video Management System?

**Answer No. 8**

Proponents must include all aspects, terms and conditions within their proposal and also reflected in their cost proposal.

**Question No. 9**

Will the department provide the communications network to be used by the body camera system between precincts and the designated location for the infrastructure equipment regardless of the type of storage solution selected by the City?

**Answer No. 9**

For the purpose of this pilot, the Communications Network will be limited to a single location and will be provided by the City.

**Question No. 10**

What type of communications network is available or will be available for the body camera system?

**Answer No. 10**

A Wi-fi network will be available for the body camera system.

**Question No. 11**

Will the department provide the physical building and space within the building to support the proposed on-site storage solution proposals?

**Answer No. 11**

Yes, the City will provide space within a building to support devices that require on-site storage.

**Question No. 12**

Do you plan to deploy cameras at the beginning of each shift or by personal officer assignment?

**Answer No. 12**

The deployment will generally occur at the beginning of the shift.

**Question No. 13**

What is the average number of Officers assigned to a precinct location?

**Answer No. 13**

The average number of officers assigned is 133.

**Question No. 14**

Initially with the Pilot, will the cameras be deployed in specific precincts or across all precincts?

**Answer No. 14**

For the purpose of the pilot the deployment will be at one location.

**Question No. 15**

Is each Precinct configured with multiple desktops for Officer use at end of shift to file reports and allow the connection of body camera docking stations?

**Answer No. 15**

Yes, each precinct has desktop computers. However, they are not dedicated stations and are used by multiple officers.

**Question No. 16**

What is the estimated number of City IT/Support/Project Management staff will be allocated and assigned to the body camera project?

**Answer No. 16**

The Atlanta Police Department will work with the Department of Information Technology to determine staffing once a device is selected.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 7**

**Question No. 17**

Will the department provide electrical outlets/services at equipment locations?

**Answer No. 17**

Yes.

**Question No. 18**

Will the department provide network equipment and cabling from the department provided network infrastructure to the Precincts BWC equipment location?

**Answer No. 18**

The City will provide access to existing network equipment and cabling from the precinct's network infrastructure from BWC equipment location. The City reserves the right to assess the feasibility of acquiring other network equipment and cabling as a part of the evaluating proposals.

**Question No. 19**

How will any project addendums, Q&A's, and the attendee list be accessed and/or distributed to project proponents?

**Answer No. 19**

All Plan Holders of record may access all addendums and associated procurement document from the City of Atlanta website. Additionally, all addenda will be emailed to all Plan Holders of record as a courtesy.

**Question No. 20**

Regarding the redacted PDF version of the submitted response, would the COA clarify what items the Proponent is allowed to redact from the submitted response (i.e. trade secret, financials, etc.?)

**Answer No. 20**

Yes to both examples.

**Question No. 21**

I have a clarification question from Addendum No.1 of this RFP, Attachment 3. Scope of Services, Section 1, 8.0 Responses to Requirements for Storage System (Onsite or Cloud). This section references a Section 2 with tables of additional requirements for the storage portion of this scope of work. Is there an additional section in the scope of services that was not published with this addendum or the original RFP? Is this additional section 2 the second half of Attachment E.1? Can the City publish these storage requirements?

**Answer No. 21**

There are a total of three (3) required tables that should be submitted with your proposal. For your convenience, all three tables have been added to this Addendum No.3. Please refer to Attachment No. 3.

**Question No. 22**

Is the City of Atlanta considering a cloud storage solution? If so, will the cloud storage provider be required to sign the CJIS Security Addendum?

**Answer No. 22**

Yes to both questions.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 8**

**Question No. 23**

Is the City considering extending the deadline to give applicants more time to respond to this RFP?

**Answer No. 23**

No.

**Question No. 24**

VIEVU and Safariland (our parent company) are privately held and do not disclose our financial information without a signed NDA. Is the City willing to sign an NDA so that we can submit our financial information?

**Answer No. 24**

The City will not be able to sign a non-disclosure agreement for Financials. The City's Risk Management department does not share any of the proponents Financials that are submitted.

**Question No. 25**

Exhibit A – Scope of Service:

The pilot will equip approximately 10% of APD'S police officers. This number will not exceed 200 officers. Is the 200 officers total for the pilot number or total number of officers currently in APD? (IE: 10% of 200 = 20 units?) or do we base it on 10% of 110 initial units based on addendum 1 change?

**Answer No. 25**

Please refer to the answer provided in response to question number 3 in this addendum.

**Question No. 26**

40 cameras uploaded per precinct per shift

- How many precincts?
- Will videos be uploaded and stored locally at the precinct or centrally across the network?
- What is the connectivity between locations and central?
- What video format/file size per hour should be used as baseline?
- How long will video be retained online?

**Answer No. 26**

Proponents should draft their proposals to provide up to 30 cameras to be uploaded per precinct per shift.

- For the pilot there is 1 precinct.
- Upload and storage will depend upon the solution provided in each proposal.
- For the purpose of the pilot there will be one site. Connectivity between other locations has not been determined at this time.
- Proposals should reflect all video formats, bandwidth recommendations and file compression rates per hour that are offered with the device proposed.
- This is dependent upon the classification of the particular incident.

**Question No. 27**

7.0 – Responses to requirements for body worn cameras – References a “Section 2 – Table 1”  
QUESTION: We are unable to locate section 2 – table 1 in the original or addendum 1, is this listed under another heading? Can this be provided if not under another heading?

**Answer No. 27**

There are a total of three (3) required tables that should be submitted with your proposal. For your convenience, all three tables have been added to this Addendum No.3. Please refer to **Attachment No. 3**.

**Question No. 28**

8.0 – Response to requirements for Storage System (Onsite or Cloud) – References Section 2 – Table 2A and or 2B. We are unable to located either of these tables in the original or addendum 1. Are these listed under another heading? Can this be provided if not under another heading?

**Answer No. 28**

There are a total of three (3) required tables that should be submitted with your proposal. For your convenience, all three tables have been added to this Addendum No.3. Please refer to **Attachment No. 3**.

**Question No. 29**

13.0 – Testing period

When will vendors be notified of request for testing and how much time will they be allocated to provide testing equipment / training?

**Answer No. 29**

All Proponents will be notified in writing if the City elects to conduct testing.

**Question No. 30**

How long of a warranty is required and is to be quoted?

**Answer No. 30**

Proponent must include all product and service warranty information in their proposal.

**Question No. 31**

How long of a maintenance/support contract is required and is to be quoted?

**Answer No. 31**

Proponent must include maintenance/support for not less than 3 years in their proposal.

**Question No. 32**

Due to the shortened turnaround timeframe of the question deadline of 8/13/2015 (Thursday) and the bid due date being 8/20/2015, will the City please consider an extension on the due date of this RFP to provide vendors ample time to review the responses to said questions that will be submitted by the 13th and incorporate a response based on those? Providing that we received the responses back on the 14th, that would leave us essentially 1.5 days to compile a complete set of responses and ship by the 18th to ensure delivery arrival by the 20th.

**Answer No. 32**

No.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 10**

**Question No. 33**

Considering that Chief CJ Davis wrote to Atlanta's COO Michael Geisler on Apr 21, 2015 the following "We have just completed the final field test on a device recommended through a state vendor, Utility. Based on our observations, we feel their product will serve us well." and given that "the Atlanta City Council believes it is important to have an open and competitive process to evaluate all possible options and technology as it relates to the use of body worn cameras" as written within Resolution 15-R-3878, and given that if this is a competitive bid

RFP under

Section 2-1189, then why has the Atlanta PD selected as a "Minimum System Requirements Questionnaire" Appendix E.1 that is 100% consistent with the attached TASER's Sole Source Justification Letter signed by Jeff Kukowski, Chief Operating Officer, TASER International, Inc.?

See (Chief CJ Davis email Attachment 1, Resolution 15-R-3878 is Attachment 2, and TASER's Sole Source Justification Letter is Attachment 3)

**Answer No. 33**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. The City believes that the minimum system requirements for this RFP can be met by more than a single device manufacturer.

**Question No. 34**

Considering that the Atlanta PD has already conducted exhaustive body-worn field evaluations and the finalist results are attached as Attachment 4 and 5, and since this RFP is supposed to be a competitive bid process, why has the city of Atlanta PD now sought to incorporate a Minimum Systems Requirements Appendix E.1 that is 100% consistent with the attached TASER's Sole Source Justification Letter signed by Jeff Kukowski, Chief Operating Officer, TASER International, Inc. that will arbitrarily eliminate competitors including the top performing system that outperformed TASER on both cost and functionality during the recently completed field trials and CIO evaluation? (See Attachment 3, Attachment 4, and Attachment 5).

**Answer No. 34**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. The City believes that the minimum system requirements for this RFP can be met by more than a single device manufacturer.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 11**

**Question No. 35**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where “video Upload Availability” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera for the Atlanta PD, and this criterion being important due to its leveraging of existing infrastructure and avoiding hundreds of thousands of dollars of unnecessary network build out (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided for a systems that allows for “Video uploads immediately within range via existing Rocket WIFI hotspot that is already available and in use in the police cars”, will this RFP likewise score body-worn cameras higher that are also capable of wirelessly uploading during the shift? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer No. 35**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question No. 36**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where “Situational Awareness & Response Functionality” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera for the Atlanta PD (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided for systems that “Provides real-time map-based view of the location and status of mobile operations, including personnel, vehicles and tools”, will this RFP likewise score body-worn cameras higher that are also capable of providing real-time GPS Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 2 of 23 position reports coupled with software capable of displaying real-time operations?

If not, explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer No. 36**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question No. 37**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where “Situational Awareness & Response Functionality” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera for the Atlanta PD (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided for systems that offers “tools providing real-time communications with officers and communications with officers and situational awareness”, will this RFP likewise score body-worn cameras higher that are also capable of providing timely communications directly to the field personnel such as “Be On the Look Out” images and associated context that might actually save an officer’s life or provide for the better protection of the citizenry? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer No. 37**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 12**

**Question No. 38**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where “Situational Awareness & Response Functionality” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera for the Atlanta PD (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided for systems that allows for “newly developed capabilities” being “available without any upgrades to the device or any additional expense” and these software “upgrades are delivered to the device automatically and does not require any physical configuration”, will this RFP likewise score systems higher that are likewise capable of providing over-the-air software upgrades to the body-worn camera? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer No. 38**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question No. 39**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where “Software Integration Capability” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided for systems that already “integrates with existing Rocket WIFI hotspots” and “integrates with WebAvail and PredPol” two disparate systems already in productive use within Atlanta PD, will this RFP likewise score systems higher that are already integrated with such hardware and software systems? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer No. 39**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question No. 40**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where specific “Functionality” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided for systems that provided “smart recording capability triggered by speech, biometrics, vehicles sensors, accelerometer, etc.”, will this RFP likewise score systems higher that provide such advanced Generation 2 triggering? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer No. 40**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 13**

**Question No. 41**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where specific Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 3 of 23 “Functionality” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided for systems that can “create GEO Fence for automatic camera activation/deactivation”, will this RFP likewise score systems higher that provide such advanced Generation 2 triggering based upon GPS location? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer No. 41**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question No. 42**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where specific “Functionality” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided for systems that can specifically “send BOLO (Be On the Look Out) to all officers”, will this RFP likewise score systems higher that provide such potentially lifesaving Generation 2 real-time notifications? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer No. 42**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 43**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where specific “Usability” was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a bodyworn camera (see Atlanta’s CIO report Attachment 4), and given that lower scores were provided to mounting systems that allow shifting, movement, or dislodgement, and specifically called out the “Taser AXON Flex and body camera can easily be shifted/moved, especially the Flex if physically engaged with a suspect” will this RFP likewise score systems lower that provide such similar mounting? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer 43**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 14**

**Question 44**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where specific "Total Cost of Ownership" was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera (see Atlanta's CIO report Attachment 4), and given that lower scores were provided to systems that require costly infrastructure improvements and where specifically Taser's system was identified as requiring "\$250k) to upgrade precinct networks" for docking and uploading, will this RFP likewise score systems lower that require such additional infrastructure build out? If so, how specifically will this additional cost be captured within this RFP? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer 44**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The City will provide access to its existing infrastructure. The City reserves the right to assess the financial impact of acquiring other infrastructure as a part of the evaluating proposals.

**Question No. 45**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where specific "Evidence Management Functionality" was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for selection of a body-worn camera (see Atlanta's CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided to systems that offer "advanced video evidence search capability (via map interface, breadcrumb visibility, etc.)", will this RFP likewise score systems higher enable such additional search capability? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer 45**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 46**

Considering the recent evaluation and testing conducted by Atlanta where specific "Geographical Location" was considered 1 of the 12 important criteria for Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions

Page 4 of 23

selection of a body-worn camera (see Atlanta's CIO report Attachment 4), and given that higher scores were provided to local support and local proximity because local providers would be "committed and motivated to seeing this solution deliver value to his/our city", will this RFP likewise score systems higher due to such locality of operations? If not, please explain the reversal in thinking?

**Answer 46**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The Local Preference Program under City Code 2-1188.1 does not apply to procurements under 2-1189.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 15**

**Question 47**

Considering that many Atlanta PD officers are on foot patrol, will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera with the ability to report officer real-time GPS location to a central Situational Awareness mapping application so that Dispatchers are aware of exact Officer location to increase Officer safety?

**Answer 47**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 48**

Since Addendum No 2 for the FC-8342 RFP was emailed to all bidders on August 13 at 9:39am, and since this late distribution allows barely 3 hours to evaluate the Addendum No 2 contents before the question submission deadline, and since in a fair and open public bid competition each bidder should have reasonable time to identify possible questions the bidder may want to ask resulting from their evaluation of Addendum No. 2, will the City extend the deadline to submit questions for FC-8342 by two business days to Monday August 17 at 1:00pm?

**Answer 48**

No.

**Question 49**

If additional Addendums to the FC-8342 RFP are distributed to all bidders after the RFP questions deadline, will bidders be allowed to submit additional questions at least one business day after the Addendum publish date to obtain clarifications that may arise after review of additional future Addendums?

**Answer 49**

The City reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to respond to any questions asked after the addendum due date.

**Question 50**

Will the FC-8342 RFP response due date and time be extended after the last Addendum has been issued and answers to questions related to the last issued Addendum are provided to the bidders, so that bidders will have at least three (3) business days to revise their bid based upon the City's answers to the new information and clarification questions resulting from the bidder's review and analysis of the last issued Addendum?

**Answer 50**

No.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 16**

**Question 51**

Since the Atlanta PD already conducted a field trial evaluation of body-worn cameras that rated another vendor's body-worn camera significantly more capable than the Taser camera specifications included in the FC-8342 RFP, and since the City CIO further evaluated and rated another vendor's body-worn camera as superior to the Taser camera specifications are included in the RFP, why has the City included lockout specifications in the RFP such as ball cap and eyeglass mounting options that only the lower-rated Taser body-worn camera can meet?

**Answer 51**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. The City believes that the minimum system requirements for this RFP can be met by more than a single device manufacturer.

**Question 52**

Since July 1, 2015 has any City employee obtained phone call guidance, received emails, or been provided with documents or computer files listing text from one or more Taser International employees or consultants from a Knox County RFP or another Taser customer RFP that details the specifications, evaluation criteria, and/or scoring criteria that were subsequently copied into the FC-8342 RFP? Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 5 of 23.

**Answer 52**

This question does not request clarification of the requirements for proponents to respond to this solicitation. Therefore the City declines to provide a response.

**Question 53**

Since based upon a review of the specification, it appears the only product in the marketplace that will meet the FC-8342 specifications is a body camera offered by Taser International, and since therefore it appears that rather than a competitive sealed proposal process under Section 2-1189 of the Atlanta City Code, this procurement is instead in substance a sole source procurement under Section 2-1191 of the Atlanta City Code, which means this RFP is a sole source procurement that requires the Chief Procurement Officer of the City to determine "in writing, after conducting a good faith due diligence review of reasonable available sources, that are in the city's best interest, there is only one source for the required" product, has the City's Chief Procurement Officer made in writing a sole source determination under Section 2-1189 of the City Code?

**Answer 53**

The City believes that the minimum system requirements for this RFP can be met by more than a single device manufacturer. No sole source determination has been made for any device.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 17**

**Question 54**

Given that the City's Police Department has conducted extensive field tests of multiple body-worn camera products, including Taser's product, and given that the City's Chief Information Officer conducted an analysis of Taser's product in comparison to Utility Associates' product, and given that both the field tests and the CIO analysis found that Utility product superior to the Taser product, what is the justification for writing a sole source specification that matches the sole source justification criteria written for Taser's product by Taser International?

**Answer 54**

Please refer to clarification number 1 listed on page two of this addendum. The City believes that the minimum system requirements for this RFP can be met by more than a single device manufacturer. No sole source determination has been made for any device.

**Question 55**

Since the true value to be realized from the body worn cameras are the desired legal evidence video management capabilities, and one would expect that the evaluation criteria would focus more on the functionality, capabilities, and technological distinctions of the cameras and associated hardware and software, yet the RFP evaluation criteria at page 13 seems to subsume technical capabilities within one factor (technical approach/management plan), which provides only 15 of the 100 possible points and therefore appears to overly emphasize other factors which do not directly relate to functionality, capabilities, and technological distinctions of the cameras and associated hardware and software, will the City revise its evaluation criteria and the respective weights given to each factor so as to emphasize the capabilities of the cameras and associated hardware and software to be more than 15% of the total evaluation of the proposed hardware and software solution set?

**Answer 55**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 56**

Since in the main body of the RFP, there is a "Draft Professional Services Agreement" (Part 5), which in accordance with paragraph 18 on page 3 is meant to be in substantially the form that will be required for execution by the winning proponent, yet this professional services agreement appears to be a document that is more appropriate for the engagement of professional services than for a equipment centric, technology based procurement such as for the body worn cameras and associated hardware and software, and since furthermore in Addendum 1 there is a "Draft Master Technology Agreement", which is a different form, so therefore is the agreement in Addendum 1 (the Draft Master Technology Agreement) meant to supersede and replace the agreement in the main body of Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions

Page 6 of 23

the RFP (Draft Professional Services Agreement)?

**Answer 56**

Yes. The Draft Master Technology Agreement attached to Addendum 1 replaces and supersedes the agreement in the RFP issued on August 5, 2015; with the exception of any clarifications made to any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 18**

**Question 57**

Since Exhibit A to addendum one (Scope of Services) says that the City desires to implement a Pilot for 10% of the APD Police officers, not to exceed 200 officer, yet the Cost proposal attached as Exhibit A.1 seeks costs for 110 body cameras, is the cost proposal set forth in the Addendum 1 incorrect and is the City seeking a cost proposal for 200 cameras, as indicated in the cost proposal set forth in the main RFP?

**Answer 57**

All respective proponents should submit cost proposals for 110 cameras.

**Question 58**

Since the individual line items in the cost proposals contained some items which will be fixed costs and other items which will be variable costs, and since there is an open ended line item entitled "other (attach separate detailed analysis)" for the differing type of line items but the presence of a factor for which is not defined, and since this line item may be interpreted and applied by different proponents in different ways, how will the City be able to determine that it is conducting "apples to apples" comparisons when evaluating the differing costs proposals?

**Answer 58**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 59**

Since there is no video data storage line item included in Exhibit A.1 – Cost Proposal, and since there is no information included in the RFP regarding the volume of video data that 110 or 200 officers may record over the course of a three year agreement, how should a vendor determine how much video the City reasonably expects to collect and therefore how the vendor should prepare a video storage price quote?

**Answer 59**

Proponents should indicate storage requirements and costs based upon their proposed solution for 110 units.

**Question 60**

Since there is no video data storage line item included in Exhibit A.1 – Cost proposal and since furthermore there is no data provided regarding the volume of video data the City expects to record during each year of the three year agreement, and there is no information provided related to video classification category percentages and retention periods, and since therefore a vendor has no facts upon which to base a video storage volume estimate, can the proposer submit a "Not to Exceed" storage volume that caps the amount of video the City can store based upon this agreement, and will the City further agree that if the City requires additional video storage above the contract maximum cap, the City will pay for additional storage on a per terabyte additional cost basis?

**Answer 60**

It is not the City's intent to limit solutions for video data storage. At a minimum, Proposals should reflect video storage costs for data recorded on devices distributed among three shifts, 30 officers per shift, operating for 8 hours per shift.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 19**

**Question 61**

If a proposal is based upon providing server and storage hardware and software to provide local storage of video, will the City agree to provide data center rack space, power, HVAC, cyber security monitoring, and operations support for the local server and storage hardware and software at no cost to the proposer?

**Answer 61**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The City will provide access to its existing infrastructure at no cost to the successful proponent. The City reserves the right to assess the financial impact of implementing each solution as a part of evaluating proposals.

**Question 62**

If a proposal is based upon providing server and storage hardware and software to provide local storage of video which the City will operate and support, will the City agree that the City is responsible for all system operations and management of service levels, where the proposer has no responsibility or legal obligation for the Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 7 of 23 performance, security, or the reliability of how the City operates and maintains the local video storage hardware and software included within the proposal?

**Answer 62**

Proponents are encouraged to submit their best and most comprehensive solutions. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The question and answer process is to provide clarification of the RFP so that proponents can formulate their proposals. The City will not negotiate the terms of a contract through the question and answer process.

**Question No. 63**

If a proposal is based upon providing server and storage hardware and software to provide local storage of video which the City will operate and support, will the City agree that the City is responsible for all system back-ups, failovers to backup servers, and Disaster Recovery offsite server procedures and annual testing, where the vendor providing the local video storage hardware and software included within the proposal has no responsibility or legal obligation for system continuity, backups, and Disaster Recovery planning and execution?

**Answer No. 63**

Proponents are encouraged to submit their best and most comprehensive solutions. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The question and answer process is to provide clarification of the RFP so that proponents can formulate their proposals. The City will not negotiate the terms of a contract through the question and answer process.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 20**

**Question 64**

If a proposal is based upon providing server and storage hardware and software to provide local storage of video which the City will operate and support, will the City agree that no offsite replication of video data is required, and the City accepts that only one copy of video will be kept on the local video storage server, and the vendor providing the video storage hardware and software has no responsibility or legal obligation for any kind of real-time replication of stored video at a second location outside of the City data center?

**Answer 64**

Proponents are encouraged to submit their best and most comprehensive solutions. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The question and answer process is to provide clarification of the RFP so that proponents can formulate their proposals. The City will not negotiate the terms of a contract through the question and answer process.

**Question 65**

Considering that some Atlanta PD officers are on foot patrol, will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera with the ability to report officer real-time GPS location to a central Situational Awareness mapping application so that Dispatchers are aware of exact Officer location to increase Officer safety?

**Answer 65**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 66**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera with a three axis Accelerometer that can detect motion such as when an officer is running or is involved in a struggle, and will automatically start video and audio recording if the body-worn camera was not already recording, as a way to increase officer safety?

**Answer 66**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 67**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera solution with an automatic "Officer-Down" reporting capability, where an officer's body-worn camera goes from a vertical to a horizontal orientation and the officer does not respond to voice prompts from the body-worn camera, where the body-worn camera will then send an immediate "Officer Down" alert with real-time GPS location to Central Dispatch, where the body-worn camera will audibly announce a "Officer Down" emergency message, and display an emergency alert on a central Situational Awareness mapping application in Central Dispatch, and also automatically start body-worn camera recording, as a way to increase officer safety?

**Answer 67**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 21**

**Question No. 68**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate always-on real-time GPS location reporting included within the body-worn camera, which does not require a separate electronic device such as an Apple iPod Touch?

**Answer 68**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 69**

How many hours of video on average does Atlanta PD expect each police officer Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 8 of 23 will record in one shift?

**Answer 69**

At a minimum, Proposals should anticipate video data recording on devices distributed among three shifts, 30 officers per shift, operating for 8 hours per shift.

**Question 70**

How long is the average Atlanta PD shift in hours?

**Answer 70**

The average APD shift is 8 hours long.

**Question 71**

How many shifts per year does the average Atlanta PD officer work?

**Answer 71**

The City does not have the data requested in this question. However, for the purpose of developing proposals, proponents may assume that an Atlanta Police Officer works 225 shifts per year.

**Question 72**

What are the Atlanta PD video classification categories?

**Answer 72**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time.

**Question 73**

What is the Atlanta PD policy for retention period in days or years for each class of video?

**Answer 73**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include retention policies for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 22**

**Question 74**

Is Atlanta PD expecting video to be recorded and stored in Standard Definition 640 x 480 video resolution?

**Answer 74**

The minimum video recording specifications are reflected in Addendum 1, Appendix E.1, Minimum System Requirements Questionnaire. The Atlanta PD expects to obtain recorded video at whatever resolution is offered by the successful proponent.

**Question 75**

Does Atlanta PD expect to only record video in 640 x 480 Standard Definition for the entire life of the three-year contract?

**Answer 75**

The minimum video recording specifications are reflected in Addendum 1, Appendix E.1, Minimum System Requirements Questionnaire. The Atlanta PD expects to obtain recorded video at whatever resolution is offered by the successful proponent for the duration of the pilot project.

**Question 76**

Since higher video resolution directly increases video file sizes to be stored, and therefore will increase total storage requirements, will Atlanta PD ever record and store video in High Definition 720p during the life of the three-year contract?

**Answer 76**

The Atlanta PD expects to obtain and store recorded video at whatever resolution is offered by the successful proponent for the duration of the pilot project.

**Question 77**

If Atlanta PD expects to record body-worn video in High Definition 720p at some point in the future, what percentage of the video is expected to be recorded and stored as High Definition 720p over the term of the three-year contract?

**Answer 77**

The Atlanta PD expects to obtain and store recorded video at whatever resolution is offered by the successful proponent for the duration of the pilot project.

**Question 78**

Since higher video resolution directly increases video file sizes to be stored, and therefore will increase total storage requirements will Atlanta PD ever record and store video in High Definition 1080p during the life of the three-year contract?

**Answer 78**

The Atlanta PD expects to obtain and store recorded video at whatever resolution is offered by the successful proponent for the duration of the pilot project.

**Question 79**

If Atlanta PD expects to record body-worn video in High Definition 1080p at some point in the future, what percentage of the video is expected to be recorded and stored as High Definition 1080p over the three-year contract?

**Answer 79**

The Atlanta PD expects to obtain recorded video at whatever resolution is offered by the successful proponent for the duration of the pilot project.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 23**

**Question 80**

What video export formats must be supported?

**Answer 80**

Proponents must propose solutions that support the digital file type requirements for system storage reflected in Addendum 2, Table 2A: Local Server Option or Table 2B: Cloud Storage System.

**Question 81**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera that can detect the officer's heart rate, and can automatically start video recording if the officer's heart rate spikes above a certain threshold, indicating that an incident has begun or the officer has perceived they are in danger, as a way to increase Officer Safety and to increase officer Accountability and Transparency of action during times of stress?

**Answer 81**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 82**

Given there are situations where a police officer may want to mute audio recording on a body-worn camera, will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera where a police officer has the ability to mute Audio recording while Video recording Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 9 of 23 continues, where the body-worn camera will audibly announce to the police officer and nearby citizens that Audio recording was muted, where an audit trail metadata record was immediately uploaded to the Chain of Custody database listing the date, time, officer ID, and location where Audio was muted, to increase officer Accountability and Video Recording Transparency?

**Answer 82**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 83**

In the case of audio muting, will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera that likewise will audibly announce when Audio recording is un-muted, where a similar audit trail metadata record was immediately uploaded to the Chain of Custody database listing the date, time, officer ID, and location where the audio was un-muted, to increase officer accountability and transparency?

**Answer 83**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 24**

**Question 84**

Considering the tragic December 2014 event where NYPD officers Liu and Ramos were shot and killed by Ismaaiyl Brinsley, where the Baltimore police faxed a picture of Ismayyil Brinsley to NYPD approximately an hour before officers Liu and Ramos were shot, but where NYPD had no way to distribute the Brinsley photo or BOLO alert information to all NYPD police officers, and where an hour later Brinsley shot and killed Officers Liu and Ramos, will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn video camera solution where one officer, a group of officers, or all officers in the police force can instantly receive Be On Look Out (BOLO) alerts with text descriptions and photos on their body-worn camera, where each officer will receive an audible and/or tactile message that a BOLO had been received, and will be able to look at the screen of the body-worn camera to see one or more BOLO pictures, and read and/or listen to an audio prompt and reading of associated BOLO text, with the BOLO being sent to one officer, one or more groups of officers, or to all Atlanta PD police officers, with audit trail records of when each officer opened and viewed the BOLO alert, as a way to enhance Officer Safety?

**Answer 84**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 85**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that can also receive instant Silver and Amber Alerts with text descriptions and photos on the body-worn camera of one officer, one or more groups of officers, or all Atlanta PD police officers, as a way to enhance public safety and be able to respond faster to Silver and Amber alerts, thereby reducing injury and death to Atlanta citizens and visitors?

**Answer 85**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 86**

Will Atlanta PD rate an Atlanta PD officer having the ability to take a photograph of a suspect or a scene with the body-worn camera and instantly upload the photograph and related text information entered through voice recognition or an on-screen keyboard to Central Dispatch, which can then be immediately sent as a BOLO alert to all other Atlanta PD officers, as a way to provide a faster and more effective police response to an incident, and to enhance officer and public safety?

**Answer 86**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 25**

**Question 87**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera solution that allows Central Dispatch to view on a real-time location of every On-Duty body-worn camera on a Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 10 of 23 Situational Awareness computer map, and further being able to see a different icon or color on the map indicating which officer body-worn cameras are actively recording video at that moment, as a way to improve Central Dispatch situational awareness and more effectively dispatch officers and reduce average call response times?

**Answer 87**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 88**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera solution that can integrate Predictive Policing crime category forecast boxes on a Situational Awareness mapping application along with the real-time location of police officers and police cars to enhance officer safety and dispatch more effectively to reduce crime?

**Answer 88**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 89**

Considering the news article authored by Dean Meminger dated April 10, 2015 where it was reported that some NYPD officers in the NYPD body-worn camera trial program were forgetting to press a manual video record start button, and where NY City Councilwoman Vanessa Gibson was quoted as saying "I'm certainly not happy to hear that an officer may forget to turn the camera on", will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera with a multi-factor policy-based capability to automatically start recording when the body-worn camera receives one or a combination of event messages such as a siren or light-bar being turned on plus the officer stopping the car and opening the car door to automatically start video recording?

**Answer 89**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 90**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that will reliably implement Atlanta PD complex multi-factor body-worn camera recording policies, yet not record and store video of no value such as the steering wheel of the vehicle while the officer drives to a call location which then must be retained possibly for years at high cost to City of Atlanta taxpayers along with the later useful video of an Incident?

**Answer 90**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 26**

**Question 91**

Considering that in times of high stress police officer safety depends upon being able to focus on the situation, and not be distracted by additional tasks such as having to remember to whether they have manually pressed the start button on a manually-operated body-worn camera, will Atlanta PD more highly rate a bodyworn camera with the ability to allow video, audio, and/or metadata recording to start automatically based upon one or more broadcast event messages from a nearby police vehicle; from a Bluetooth, Cellular, or WiFi communications device; from a sensor such as a GPS, proximity, 3 or 6 axis accelerometer, and/or Near Field Communications sensor that is internal to the body-worn camera?

**Answer 91**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 92**

Given the inherent racial bias that all human beings have, and given the concerns that many citizens have that police are not Accountable and Transparent in their actions, and given the risk of task saturation when a police officer is responding to a dangerous situation, will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera that can automatically start recording according to Atlanta PD video recording policy, Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 11 of 23 and not have to rely upon the discretion and inherent racial biases of each officer to decide whether and when to manually start video recording?

**Answer 92**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 93**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that is configurable through software policies and rules to reliably implement Atlanta PD command staff and City policy decisions about when a police officer will be restricted from stopping video, audio, and/or metadata recording?

**Answer 93**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 94**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that is configurable to reliably implement a possible Atlanta PD policy decision that video recording cannot be stopped until an incident is declared over by a Supervisor or Central Dispatch?

**Answer 94**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 27**

**Question 95**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that is configurable to reliably implement a possible Atlanta PD policy decision that video recording cannot be stopped until the police car light bar is turned off?

**Answer 95**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 96**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that is configurable to reliably implement a possible Atlanta PD policy decision that video recording cannot be stopped until the police car or the officer leaves a designated Geo-Fence zone?

**Answer 96**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 97**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that includes an audible and/or tactile feedback capability confirming that the body-worn camera has started or stopped recording?

**Answer 97**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 98**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that can audibly announce to the officer and to nearby citizens that body-worn camera video recording has started or stopped?

**Answer 98**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 99**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that is configurable to be able to play an audio prompt indicating recording has started or has been stopped, where the audio prompt is recorded on the body-worn camera so there is a positive audible confirmation to the officer and to other nearby officers and citizens that video and/or audio recording has started or stopped, and also date, time, and location metadata confirming the time and location where the recording started or stopped?

**Answer 99**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 28**

**Question 100**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that immediately uploads audit trail log records with date, time, GPS location, recording start or stop command source, and other metadata of all manual and automatic recording starts and stops to a central Chain of Custody database, so that Atlanta PD, Community Activists, and the Public can be sure that all video that was recorded actually gets uploaded to the central video repository?

**Answer 100**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 101**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that can take pictures and Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 12 of 23 have a display screen that can display the pictures, and be able to upload pictures and related text to Central Dispatch and other police officers, so that the victim can view a picture of a suspect instead of having to confront a suspect a second time and confirm the suspect identity in person, thereby avoiding "re-victimizing the victim"?

**Answer 101**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 102**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that has real-time GPS location awareness so the body-worn camera can automatically start recording when the body-worn camera enters into a pre-configured or ad-hoc Geo-Fence zone?

**Answer 102**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 103**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera with real-time location awareness so that the body-worn camera will stop recording once the body-worn camera exits a designated geo-fence zone?

**Answer 103**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 104**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera with real-time GPS location awareness so that designated geo-fence zones such as police stations, officer homes, and other sensitive locations where the body-worn camera can be configured to never start recording video, audio, or metadata while inside the designated geo-fence zones even if the officer or Central Dispatch attempts to manually start recording?

**Answer 104**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 29**

**Question 105**

Will Atlanta PD rate more highly a body-worn camera that has an "Off-Duty" mode so that automatic recording triggers or Central Dispatch will not be able to start recording when the Officer is "Off-Duty"?

**Answer 105**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 106**

Since the May 6, 2014 news report by Erika Aquilar stated LAPD body cameras tend to fall off officer's shirt collars and sunglasses during movement, and since the purpose of body-worn cameras is to reliably capture video, audio and metadata to increase accountability and transparency for 21st Century Policing, and since the reliable capture of video evidence is critical for building and maintaining citizen trust in police accountability and transparency and proving that police officers performed their duties according to Atlanta PD Command Staff policy, will Atlanta PD disqualify shirt collar and sunglass mounted body-worn cameras from consideration?

**Answer 106**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 107**

Since the Edmonton, Canada Body Worn Video study released in June 2015 "expressed strong safety concerns because the pressure of the camera against a member's temple could cause injury in a dynamic interaction; and, the breakaway wire could be used as a weapon against the member", and stated "in use of force situations the camera almost always became partially or fully dislodged", and on several occasions the camera flew off and was 'lost' without the wearer realizing it, will Atlanta PD disqualify sunglass mounted body-worn cameras from consideration as being an unreliable and unsafe video camera mounting Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 13 of 23 alternative?

**Answer 107**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

## **FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

### **Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 30**

#### **Question 108**

Since the Edmonton, Canada BWV study released in June 2015 also stated that a clip-on body-worn camera “wasn’t comfortable as it flapped from side to side”, and “one camera was lost when it caught in a seatbelt and fell outside the vehicle”, and test participants rated the mounts “poorly on comfort, fit, and especially stability”, and “85% of the participants commented – without being prompted – that the camera flopped about during rapid movement”, and “62% added that the camera hit them on the chin or face”, and since reliable video, audio, and metadata capture is of paramount importance to spending millions of dollars on purchasing bodyworn cameras and storing video from body-worn cameras, will Atlanta PD disqualify a clip-on mount for a body-worn camera as an unreliable mounting option?

#### **Answer 108**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

#### **Question 109**

Due to the high volume of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests Atlanta PD can expect to get from Atlanta PD body-worn camera video once the body-worn camera system is implemented, and with the high cost and delay of manual redaction of bystanders, minors, under-cover police officers, and other persons that will be required before videos can be released in response to FOIA requests, will Atlanta PD rate more highly a video management system that includes the capability of automatically redacting one or more faces and other distinguishing marks such as tattoos on other body parts, in order to dramatically reduce the cost of manual labor and delay to manually redact body-worn video?

#### **Answer 109**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

#### **Question 110**

If the Video Management system does not include an Automatic Video Redaction capability, and therefore Atlanta PD has to hire additional staff to manually redact body-worn video, and/or will have to hire outside contractors to perform manual video redaction before body-worn video can be released in response to FOIA requests, will the cost of this additional Atlanta PD or contractor manual redaction staff be factored into the evaluation of the overall total cost of ownership to the City of Atlanta taxpayers over the life of the contract?

#### **Answer 110**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. For information regarding evaluation of proponents’ cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 31**

**Question 111**

If Atlanta PD plans to outsource manual video redaction to one or more third parties to respond to FOIA requests, what video distribution check-in, check-out, and quality assurance capability and audit trail reporting will the Video Management system need to provide?

**Answer 111**

Atlanta PD does not have a particular plan for outsourcing manual video redaction at this time.

**Question 112**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a secure body-camera that is integrated into the police officer's uniform, and is not a clip-on camera where a perpetrator has a powerful incentive to snatch the clip-on camera and destroy the video before it can be uploaded to the central video storage repository?

**Answer 112**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 113**

Has Atlanta PD recently installed additional network capacity and power to each location where docking or charging stations need to be installed to deliver the Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 14 of 23 service?

**Answer 113**

The City made enhancements to its overall data infrastructure to support a variety of technology projects and initiatives.

**Question 114**

If no power and network upgrades have been installed, is the vendor required to install additional network capacity and/or power to each location of the docking or charging stations to deliver the service?

**Answer 114**

See the response to Question 113 above.

**Question 115**

Is the vendor required to pay for the cost of electricity, networking, and internet access charges as part of the delivered service?

**Answer 115**

These costs have already been configured as a part of operating expenses for the department.

**Question 116**

What network support and operating costs will Atlanta PD / City of Atlanta IT provide to deliver the service?

**Answer 116**

The network support and operating costs cannot be identified or quantified at this time, as they will depend upon the solutions offered by each proponent.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 32**

**Question 117**

Since the Atlanta PD RFP appears to assume that a body-worn camera solution will require many upload docking stations at many locations to upload body-worn camera video after the end of a shift, but since Atlanta PD already has WiFi and cellular wireless connectivity available in many parts of the city that could be used to upload video immediately from a body-worn camera, and since officer operational efficiency and video chain of custody security will be enhanced if the officer does not have to leave their body-worn camera out of the officer's personal control for many hours until they return for next shift, will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera solution with a wireless upload capability that does not require the cost of installation, support, and network connectivity to manual docking stations?

**Answer 117**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 118**

Will the evaluation of a proposal's total cost of ownership over the three year term of the contract include the cost of purchasing, installing, and supporting additional network switches, cabling, internet capacity, and other IT and Communications infrastructure required to support the installation and operation of manual docking stations for after-shift bulk offload of body-worn camera video?

**Answer 118**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The cost of purchasing, installing and supporting additional network switches, cabling, internet capacity and other IT and Communications infrastructure will not be evaluated in conjunction with evaluating responsive proposals. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**Question 119**

How should the vendor estimate the on-going maintenance and support staffing for the increased Atlanta PD / City of Atlanta network capacity and communications support staffing required over the life of the contract to support offload manual docking stations to deliver the service?

**Answer 119**

Proponents should use their best business judgment to calculate the resources required and the associated costs based upon their proposed solution.

**Question 120**

Will Atlanta PD provide a credit in the total cost of ownership price evaluation for a body-worn solution that does not require manual docking stations, and therefore also does not also require the installation and maintenance of expanded/additional IT and Communications infrastructure to support manual docking stations at many Atlanta PD locations?

**Answer 120**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 33**

**Question 121**

If a vendor can leverage the existing City of Atlanta and Corporate Partner wireless networks to immediately offload body-worn camera video, audio, and metadata to a central video storage repository, instead of purchasing, installing, and supporting Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 15 of 23 manual docking stations that are hard-wired to an Atlanta PD landline network, will Atlanta PD more highly rate this more secure immediate wireless video upload alternative?

**Answer 121**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 122**

If a vendor can leverage the existing Atlanta PD and other city WiFi access points, and the access points already available in Atlanta PD patrol cars, to immediately and securely upload video recordings from the body-worn cameras as they are recorded rather than the police officer having to travel back to a manual docking station location to manually dock a body-worn camera where video upload occurs some hours later, will Atlanta PD more highly rate this immediate and more secure wireless upload alternative?

**Answer 122**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 123**

How many Atlanta PD locations will require body-worn camera video manual offload and charging docking stations?

**Answer 123**

This pilot project will only involve one police precinct. However, the technology for offloading and charging the devices will depend upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations.

**Question 124**

Will Atlanta PD provide an inventory of docking station offload locations with an estimated number of docking stations that will be required at each location?

**Answer 124**

This pilot project will only involve one police precinct. The technology for offloading and charging the devices will depend upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations. Thus, the inventory requested cannot be provided.

**Question 125**

How does Atlanta PD plan to install docking stations, and therefore does the pricing proposal need to include a cost estimate for the labor necessary to install and support the manual docking stations over the 5 year term of the agreement?

**Answer 125**

The technology to be installed will depend upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations. Proposals must include all costs related to implementation of the proposed solution for the duration of the pilot project.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 34**

**Question 126**

Does the proposal need to include the cost of additional physical security infrastructure at each manual docking station location to secure the manual docking stations so that body-worn cameras are not exposed to being removed from manual docking stations before their video is uploaded in order to protect the Chain of Custody of evidence to prove that the video has not been lost or tampered with prior to completion of video and audio being uploaded to a central storage repository?

**Answer 126**

The technology to be installed will depend upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations. For information regarding cost proposal requirements, proponents should refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**Question 127**

Since video and audio is only stored in the body-worn camera until the body-worn camera can upload the video and audio to a central storage repository, how does Atlanta PD want the vendor to be able to prove the evidence has not been lost or changed, and the Chain of Custody has not been broken, from the time the video is recorded until the body-worn camera is manually docked in the manual docking station, until the video upload is completed and the video is logged into the central video storage repository?

**Answer 127**

The technology to be installed will depend upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations. Proposals must include information as to how the proposed solution will ensure that integrity of the video is not compromised and the Chain of Custody is sustained in the interim between recording and transfer.

**Question 128**

How does Atlanta PD plan to associate body-worn camera video from an Incident to one or more In-Car Video recordings of the same Incident?

**Answer 128**

This question does not request clarification of the requirements for proponents to respond to this solicitation, as proponents are not required to provide information about non-body-worn camera data.

**Question 129**

If an officer does not classify a video, or does not manually enter an Incident number either before after the video is uploaded to the video management repository, how will Atlanta PD search for and associate a video with an Incident? Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 16 of 23.

**Answer 129**

The response to this question depends upon the proposed solution. Proposals must state the process by which the Video Management System catalogs or classifies Incidents for retrieval purposes.

**Question 130**

Will body-worn video need to be exported into the In-Car Video Management system?

**Answer 130**

No.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 35**

**Question 131**

Will In-Car Video Management System video need to be imported into the bodyworn video management system software?

**Answer 131**

No.

**Question 132**

In addition to body-worn video, what volume of other video, pictures, data, and other legal evidence does Atlanta PD plan to store in the body-worn video management repository?

**Answer 132**

The Atlanta PD is only looking for body-worn camera video storage solutions for the purpose of this pilot project.

**Question 133**

How should the vendor estimate the cost of additional storage for other legal evidence Atlanta PD plans to store in the body-worn video management repository?

**Answer 133**

The Atlanta PD is only looking for body-worn camera video storage solutions for the purpose of this pilot project. Thus, proponents are not asked to provide the cost of storage capacity for other legal evidence.

**Question 134**

Since an officer may find that his or her body-worn camera is not in the manual docking station where he or she left it that last time they were at the manual docking station location, and therefore may not be able to locate their body-worn before the start of their shift, what percentage of extra body-worn cameras will be required so that a police officer will be able to get a spare body-worn camera and be able to perform patrol duties from the start of their shift?

**Answer 134**

For the purpose of the pilot project, proponents will only need to provide 110 cameras.

**Question 135**

If an officer cannot locate their body-worn camera at the start of their shift, will the officer be allowed to immediately log into the video management system to register their new body-worn camera so that any video they record will be tagged with their User ID?

**Answer 135**

The response to this question depends upon the proposed solution. Proponents must describe the process for assigning a replacement device in the event of loss or damage.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 36**

**Question 136**

If the officer cannot locate their body-worn camera at the start of their shift, and the policy decision is only a supervisor or a system administrator can immediately log into the video management system to register/re-assign a new body-worn camera so that any video they record will be tagged with their User ID, will the personnel costs of these additional Supervisors or Administrators be considered in the evaluation of the total cost of ownership of the body-worn camera solution?

**Answer 136**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**Question 137**

Will the body-worn camera require a capability to automatically update the bodyworn camera clock upon power-up so that any video recorded has the correct recording date and time?

**Answer 137**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 138**

Will the body-worn camera video management solution need to include a bodyworn camera log in and log out data entry screens and audit trail reporting process that shows when body-worn cameras were manually docked and undocked in the manual docking stations, and thereby prove the Chain of Custody that the video and audio data has not been edited or deleted before the video was uploaded to the video management system repository?

**Answer 138**

The features of the technology to be installed will depend upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 139**

Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 17 of 23 107. Since body-worn camera with no screen and no direct input method cannot authenticate and confirm the association of the body-worn camera assignment to the officer actually in possession of the body-worn camera, and since therefore any officer may be wearing a body-worn camera assigned to a different officer, what other methods does Atlanta PD want to associate video and audio data to the officer who was actually wearing the camera?

**Answer 139**

The features of the technology to be installed will depend upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include input screens. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

## **FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

### **Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 37**

#### **Question 140**

If a body-worn camera is assigned to an officer, but that body-worn camera for some reason is being worn by a different police officer who records video with that body-worn camera, should the video be associated with the office is listed as bodyworn camera registered user, or should the video after being uploaded be reassigned in some way to the User ID of the officer who was actually wearing the camera and actually recorded the video?

#### **Answer 140**

The response to this question depends upon the proposed solution. Proponents must describe the process for assigning a device to multiple users.

#### **Question 141**

What level of system or supervisor authorization and audit trail reporting will be required to change the association of a video from the registered body-worn camera owner to the officer who was actually wearing the body-worn camera when an item of legal evidence was captured?

#### **Answer 141**

The response to this question depends upon the proposed solution. Proponents must describe the process for assigning a device to multiple users and should describe any audit trail reporting and authorization functionality in their proposed solution.

#### **Question 142**

Will Atlanta PD require that the each component of the proposed body-worn camera solution be "hot stamped" with the component serial number and a different color "hot stamp" stating "Property of Atlanta PD"?

#### **Answered 142**

No. The Atlanta PD has standard inventory control procedures. This RFP does not request proponents to include inventory control procedures in their proposals.

#### **Question 143**

If not all components of a body-worn camera system need to be "hot stamped" with the component serial number and "Property of Atlanta PD", which components need to be "hot stamped"?

#### **Answer 143**

The Atlanta PD has standard inventory control procedures. This RFP does not request proponents to include inventory control procedures in their proposals.

#### **Question 144**

If a vendor's user manual states the body-worn camera will lose video if the battery is low and the cable connecting the camera to the battery pack gets disconnected, how does Atlanta PD want the vendor to secure the camera and cable so that video is not lost by accident or on purpose before it gets uploaded to the central video storage repository?

#### **Answer 144**

The response to this question depends upon the proposed solution. Proponents must describe the features of their proposed solution that meet or exceed the minimum requirements in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 38**

**Question 145**

If a vendor's user manual states the body-worn camera will lose video if the battery is low and the cable connecting the camera to the battery pack gets disconnected, and since reliable video recording is required for police accountability and transparency as a key requirement for 21st Policing, will Atlanta PD disqualify from consideration a body-worn camera that will lose video if the battery is low and the cable gets disconnected as being an unreliable recording device?

**Answer 145**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 146**

Since body-worn video on a manually-operated clip-on body-worn camera with no real-time communications capability has to be manually docked in a manual docking station after the end of an officer's shift to upload the video to a central storage repository, and since suspects and perpetrators will come to realize that Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 18 of 23 the recorded video and audio can be destroyed or can be made to disappear if the suspect assaults the police officer to snatch the body-worn camera off the officer's sunglasses or uniform before he or she is able to return to a docking station location to upload video to central storage, what other additional locks, security straps, wires, or other security devices will need to be included in the body-worn camera bid to help prevent the body-worn camera from being snatched off the officer's sunglasses or uniform?

**Answer 146**

The technology to be installed will depend upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 147**

Will Atlanta PD provide an interface or provide a real-time message structure from the Atlanta PD Computer-Aided Dispatching system, where a message of the location of each service and 911 call address can be automatically transmitted to a body-worn camera Situational Awareness mapping system, where the body-worn camera Situational Awareness mapping system will automatically define an Action Zone around the call address which will automatically start body-worn and In-Car Camera video recording when the officer or vehicle enters the Action zone identified around the call address?

**Answer 147**

No, the Atlanta PD will not provide an interface or message structure from its Computer-Aided Dispatching system to body-worn cameras for the purpose of this pilot project.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 39**

**Question 148**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera where Central Dispatch can remotely start or stop video recording on a body-worn camera out in the field?

**Answer 148**

The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 149**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera system where video recording automatically turns on when the officer comes into range of another officer whose body-worn camera is already recording?

**Answer 149**

The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 150**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera Situational Awareness mapping and video management system that provided a comprehensive search capability to search for body-worn videos by street address, within a geo-fence zone, within an area around a street address or intersection, or by indicating a point on a map and having the system identify all videos that were recorded in the vicinity of that point for the selected date and time range?

**Answer 150**

The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 151**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera Situational Awareness mapping and Video Management system that can display a very accurate route of travel "breadcrumb" trail on a map-based interface, where a Dispatcher or Supervisor can see on the map the location where video was recorded?

**Answer 151**

The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 152**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera Situational Awareness mapping and Video Management system that can identify and produce reports of all officers and police vehicles that passed by an address during a specified date and time range, so as to provide facts for Atlanta PD Command Staff and/or Atlanta City Council members to be able to respond to citizen concerns there has been little or no police presence at their address?

**Answer 152**

The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 40**

**Question 153**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera Situational Awareness Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 19 of 23 mapping and Video Management system that can log and report how much officer and vehicle time has been spent in a specific Beat geo-fences during a specified date and time range?

**Answer 153**

The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 154**

What security and audit trail capabilities does the vendor need to provide to identify the leak source if a body-worn video recorded in a common video file format such as .avi, .mp4 and Motion JPEG is leaked and provided to news media or posted on YouTube or Social Media sites by someone within Atlanta PD who is not authorized to distribute video to YouTube, news organizations, or social media sites?

**Answer 154**

The Atlanta PD has its own investigative procedures for misconduct. This RFP does not request that proposals include investigatory features of the proposed solutions.

**Question 155**

What security and audit trail capabilities does the vendor need to provide to inhibit a viewer using a personal cell phone or other personal video recording device to take a video of the playback of a body-worn video on an Atlanta PD workstation or laptop, and subsequently post the personal cell video of the body-worn video on YouTube or Social Media sites, or provide a copy of the video or a screen shot of the video content?

**Answer 155**

The Atlanta PD has its own investigative procedures for misconduct. This RFP does not request that proposals include investigatory features of the proposed solutions.

**Question 156**

What role-based access controls are required to limit viewing, copying, or distributing body-worn video to only authorized users?

**Answer 156**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 157**

How does Atlanta PD want policy-based access control to be configured to restrict view rights to only the police officer who recorded the video, to Supervisors, and to other authorized user such as Internal Affairs?

**Answer 157**

The answer to this question depends upon the features of the successful proponent's solution. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 41**

**Question 158**

Will police officers be required to log into the body-worn camera at the beginning of each shift to confirm that the identify of the officer wearing the body-worn camera during the shift is who the camera is actually assigned to?

**Answer 158**

The answer to this question depends upon the features of the successful proponent's solution. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 159**

If a body-worn video is recorded by an officer in possession of a body-worn camera that is registered to a different police officer, who will have view access rights to the video? Will it be the officer to whom the body-worn camera is assigned, or will the officer who actually was wearing the body-worn camera be the officer who gets viewing rights?

**Answer 159**

The response to this question depends upon the proposed solution. Proponents must describe the process for assigning a device to multiple users.

**Question 160**

If a body-worn video is recorded by an officer in possession of a body-worn camera that is registered to a different police officer, what audit controls and audit trail reporting are required to change the recording officer ID in the Video Management System?

**Answer 160**

The response to this question depends upon the proposed solution. Proponents must describe the process for assigning a device to multiple users.

**Question 161**

How will Atlanta PD incorporate location, GPS events, automatic trigger events and other metadata that are desirable in a Situational Awareness Video Management system, but are not included within the file structure format of common video file formats such as .avi, .mp4, and Motion JPEG? Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 20 of 23

**Answer 161**

The RFP does not request proposals that incorporate location, GPS events, automatic trigger events and other metadata. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 162**

Will Atlanta PD use video classification categories to automatically manage video retention and purge processing?

**Answer 162**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include retention policies for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 42**

**Question 163**

What are the Atlanta PD video retention categories, and how long is the video retention period for each type of video category, such as Misdemeanors, Felonies, Traffic Stops, Citizen Interaction, DUI, Training, and other video classifications?

**Answer 163**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include retention policies for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time.

**Question 164**

Can a vendor assume that if a video is classified in more than one category, that may have different retention periods such as Traffic Stop and DUI, that the video will be retained for the longest time period of the multiple classifications of the video?

**Answer 164**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include retention policies for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time. Thus, the Atlanta PD cannot provide a basis for an assumption.

**Question 165**

Does the Video Management system need to be configurable to automatically purge videos that have reached the end of their longest retention period?

**Answer 165**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include retention policies for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time.

**Question 166**

Will Atlanta PD provide vendors with a copy of the current Atlanta PD video retention policy?

**Answer 166**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include retention policies for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time.

**Question 167**

Does Atlanta PD expect the current video retention policy to change over the life of the contract life?

**Answer 167**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include retention policies for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time and the Atlanta Police Department cannot determine whether they will change.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 43**

**Question 168**

Will a change in the video retention policy over the life of the contract that results in an increased volume of stored video be considered an automatic Contract Change Order?

**Answer 168**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include retention policies for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time and the Atlanta Police Department cannot determine whether they will change. Further, the City will not negotiate the terms of a contract through the question and answer process.

**Question 169**

If there is no current video retention policy or estimated volume of video hours to be recorded per year, how should vendors estimate the video storage volume over the life of the contract to be included in the price proposal?

**Answer 169**

Proponents should use their best business judgment to calculate the associated costs based upon their proposed solution and the information provided in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 170**

Will Atlanta PD require officers to classify body-worn videos in the field immediately after the body-worn video is recorded, before the officer returns to a manual docking station upload and charging location?

**Answer 170**

The answer to this question depends upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations. The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. When final, they will include classification procedures for video classification categories. However, they are not final at this time.

**Question 171**

Will officers be allowed to view recorded videos before Incident reports are prepared and filed?

**Answer 171**

The Atlanta Police Department body worn camera Standard Operating Procedures are currently in development. However, they are not final at this time and the answer to this question is unknown at this time.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 44**

**Question 172**

If there are three shifts of 8 hours per shift and each location operates on a 24 x 7 basis, yet body-worn cameras are allowed up to 12 hours to complete data transfer and charging, and therefore the body-worn camera upload and re-charge will not be completed by the end of the subsequent 8 hour shift, and therefore on many days each officer will return to the Command location 16 hours after his or her prior shift has been completed to begin his next shift, and since on many days the bodyworn camera will only complete uploading and recharging 4 hours before the start Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 21 of 23 of next 8 hour shift, and since it therefore appears that officers will not be able to share docking stations for their body-worn cameras, should vendors therefore assume that the pricing proposal will need to include a dedicated docking station slot on a one-for-one basis for each body-worn camera based at each Command location? If not, why not?

**Answer 172**

The answer to this question depends upon the solution offered by the successful proponent and may or may not include docking stations. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**Question 173**

In order to ensure the security and privacy of recorded video and audio data on the body-worn camera, will the video and audio data on the body-worn camera before it is uploaded to the central video repository need to be encrypted "at rest" on the body-worn camera with AES 256 bit encryption?

**Answer 173**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 174**

If video and audio data on the body-worn camera is not 256 AES bit encrypted, what other data security measures are required to protect the security of video, audio, and metadata in case the body-worn camera gets lost, stolen, or snatched off the officer's uniform before video is uploaded to the Video Management central video repository, or to prevent Officers from editing the video?

**Answer 174**

The answer to this question depends upon the solutions proposed by the proponents. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed the minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 45**

**Question 175**

If the video is not encrypted on the body-worn camera, and therefore is vulnerable to being hacked to edit or delete the video before it is uploaded to a video management repository, will the City of Atlanta agree the vendor has no responsibility or legal liability if lost, stolen, or unauthorized access body-worn camera video is edited, deleted, or distributed without authorization to social media sites?

**Answer 175**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The question and answer process is to provide clarification of the RFP so that proponents can formulate their proposals. The City will not negotiate the terms of a contract through the question and answer process.

**Question 176**

If Atlanta PD installs docking stations in hallways and other unsecured locations within Atlanta PD locations, will Atlanta PD agree that the vendor providing the service has no responsibility or legal liability for the Chain of Custody of video and audio recordings that never get uploaded to the Video Management System?

**Answer 176**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The question and answer process is to provide clarification of the RFP so that proponents can formulate their proposals. The City will not negotiate the terms of a contract through the question and answer process.

**Question 177**

If a separate electronic device such as an iPod Touch in addition to the body-worn camera is required to be Bluetooth connected to the body-worn camera to classify videos in the field, will the cost and support of these additional required electronic devices such as an iPod Touch for each officer be required to be included in the price proposal bid on a one for one basis with the body-worn camera?

**Answer 177**

The Scope of Work does not specifically require Bluetooth connected devices. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Proponents must include all costs for their proposed solution. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

## **FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

### **Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 46**

#### **Question 178**

If there is a requirement to have a recharging station solution for a separate screen and input device such as an iPod Touch, and assuming the Atlanta PD officer will not take home a separate screen and input device such as an iPod Touch, will a proposal quote also need to include as many iPod Touch recharging stations as body-worn camera docking station at each location?

#### **Answer 178**

Proponents will determine what accessories are needed to make a solution work to meet the Atlanta Police Department's business objectives. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Proponents must include all costs for their proposed solution. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

#### **Question 179**

If there is no requirement to have a charging station capability for a separate Apple iPod Touch or similar device to provide a screen or input capability for the bodyworn camera at the docking station location, what other charging solution – if any - Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 22 of 23 must a vendor provide for the separate required screen and input device such as an iPod Touch so that the separate device can also have it's battery recharged while the body-worn camera is being recharged?

#### **Answer 179**

Proponents will determine what accessories are needed to make a solution work to meet the Atlanta Police Department's business objectives. Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

#### **Question 180**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera solution that has a screen and input capability directly on the body-worn camera device, and therefore does not require a separate screen and input capability such as an iPod Touch, so that the officer could classify video and enter metadata such as case number, other officer number, and notes at the scene immediately after an Incident occurs?

#### **Answer 180**

The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

#### **Question 181**

Will Atlanta PD more highly rate a body-worn camera that uses the current H.264 video recording standard that can record the same resolution video at half the video file size of a body-worn camera that is based upon the obsolete legacy H.263 video recording standard?

#### **Answer 181**

The proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 47**

**Question 182**

Will Atlanta PD require a body-worn camera to record video using the current H.264 video recording standard, and disqualify a body-worn camera that uses the obsolete H.263 video recording standard?

**Answer 182**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 183**

Will Atlanta PD require officers to spend additional time after the end of their shift to log into the Video Management system to view and classify their recorded videos a day or two after the video event after it is uploaded to the central video storage repository, will the cost of this overtime be included in the evaluation of the total cost of ownership over the life of the contract?

**Answer 183**

The need for additional staff time depends upon the solution proposed by the successful proponent. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**Question 184**

If Atlanta PD officers will not classify body-worn videos immediately after the video is recorded, what functionality does the system need to provide for whoever will perform the video classification?

**Answer 184**

The classification functionality depends upon the solution proposed by the successful proponent. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 185**

Will additional system logins need to be quoted so that the administrative personnel who are tasked with classifying videos after they are uploaded to the Video Management System?

**Answer 185**

The need for additional system logins depends upon the solution proposed by the successful proponent. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. Proponents must include all costs for their proposed solution in their proposal. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 48**

**Question 186**

What classification training and support will need to be quoted to train and support classification admin staff initially, and over the length of the contract to cover normal administrative turnover?

**Answer 186**

Please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**Question 187**

If video classification after the fact will require hiring additional Atlanta PD dedicated video classification staff to review videos and perform the classification review work, will the cost of these additional video classification review staff be factored into the overall total cost of ownership evaluation of a vendor's proposed solution?

**Answer 187**

The need for additional staff depends upon the solution proposed by the successful proponent. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**Question 188**

For a body-worn camera system that allows the police officer to classify videos on Atlanta RFP-FC-8342 1666062FC-8342191236 RFP Questions Page 23 of 23 the body-worn camera in the field immediately after the video is recorded, will the avoided cost of not having to hire additional dedicated video review and classification staff be considered as a credit in the total cost of ownership evaluation of a vendor's body-worn camera solution?

**Answer 188**

Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. For information regarding evaluation of proponents' cost proposals, please refer to Exhibit A1: Cost Proposal, attached to this Addendum.

**Question 189**

Since Atlanta PD did not specify Video Management System requirements other than integration with Active Directory, what are the minimum View, Search, Security, Video Classification, and Audit Trail Reporting capability requirements?

**Answer 189**

Proposals must include solutions that meet or exceed all minimum requirements listed in the RFP and any subsequent addenda. The question and answer process is to provide clarification of the RFP so that proponents can formulate their proposals. The City will not negotiate the terms of a contract through the question and answer process.

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

**Addendum No. 3**

**August 18, 2015**

**Page 49**

**Question 190**

In addition to the number of software license required for each body-worn camera for each police officer, how many additional software licenses to use the service are required to be included in the RFP quote for Atlanta PD command staff, administrative users, City of Atlanta IT support staff, District Attorneys, Prosecutors, Court Clerks, and any other authorized users of the Video Management System?

**Answer 190**

Proponents must submit the number of licenses and per-unit cost of licenses based upon their proposed solution. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 191**

If Atlanta PD chooses to provide access to the Video Management System service to District Attorneys and other non-Atlanta PD staff, what separate login in security and audit trail reporting will be required for these non-Atlanta PD users?

**Answer 191**

The need for additional system logins depends upon the solution proposed by the successful proponent and proposals should describe any login security and audit trail reporting capabilities. Responsive proposals will be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria listed in Part 3, Evaluation of Proposals, in the RFP and any subsequent addenda.

**Question 192**

If Atlanta PD is considering posting redacted videos on a publicly available website similar to how Seattle PD is posting all body-worn videos, what outside user viewing metrics reporting and controls will be required to be included in the Video Management System?

**Question 192**

The Atlanta Police Department is not considering outside viewing capabilities for the purpose of evaluating proposals submitted in response to this RFP.

**Attachment No.1**

**Exhibit A.1**  
**Revised Cost Proposal**

**Exhibit A.1 – Revised Cost Proposal (Per Addendum No.3)**

**FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

The summary below reflects projected City costs for system acquisition and implementation. Supporting detail must be attached describing hourly rates, perform cost, projected expenses, licensing structure for each component, of both server and client software, discounts, and any other information that will verify your commitment to remain in line with industry standards for Body Camera and Video Management System.

The total cost to the City **must** be inclusive of all software upgrades, required updates and patches associated and required for the successful management of the proposed Body Camera and Video Management System. **If there are additional fees associated with the functionality of your firms' proposed product, and they are not categorized below, the Proponent must provide a detailed narrative with their proposal listing all fixed costs. These additional fees, as well as the Total Proposal Amount listed below, shall be used to evaluate the overall financial impact to the City during the evaluation phase.**

	Per Device	Total Fixed Amount for 110 Cameras
1. Cost for 110 body cameras	\$	\$
2. License for each camera	\$	\$
3. 1 <sup>st</sup> Yr. Maintenance	\$	\$
4. Annual Hardware Maintenance after 1 <sup>st</sup> Yr.	\$	\$
5. Total Fixed Cost to implement 110 cameras (any installation fees if applicable)	\$	
6. Total Fixed Cost to implement 110 cameras (any data storage fees if applicable)	\$	
7. Total Fixed Cost to implement 110 cameras (any data retrieval fees if applicable)	\$	
8. Training and User Technical Documentation	\$	
9. Travel Expenses	\$	
<b>Total Proposal Amount:</b> List the Lump Sum Amount for the total fixed amounts reference above, associated with 110 devices (Line items 1-9)	\$	_____.

**\*\*\*Note: Additional Pricing Information List Below\*\*\***

	Per Device	Total Fixed Amount for 110 Cameras
1. Total Cost to implement each camera After Go Live (to include data storage fees if applicable)	\$	\$
2. Total Cost to implement each camera After Go Live (to include data retrieval fees if applicable)	\$	\$
3. Additional maintenance fees post go live (if applicable)	\$	\$
4. Additional license fees post go live (if applicable)	\$	\$

**Yes, I agree to honor the above-referenced Rates:**

Print Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Official Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Corporate Proponent: [Insert Corporate Name]

Non-Corporate Proponent: [Insert Proponent Name]

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Corporate Secretary/Assistant  
Secretary (Seal)

Notary Public (Seal)  
My Commission Expires:

**Attachment No.2**

**Part 3**  
**Revised Evaluation of Proposals**

**Part 3; Revised Evaluation of Proposals (Per Addendum No.3)  
FC-8342, Body Camera and Video Management System**

An Evaluation Committee, consisting of City representatives, will review the RFP submittals in accordance with the submittal requirements and the evaluation criteria set forth below. In addition to the criteria that will be evaluated and scored; please make note of the above-referenced items that will be evaluated but not scored. All evaluation factors outlined in this RFP are important and can have an impact on the overall recommendation for an award.

An award shall be made to the most responsible and responsive offeror whose proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous to the City, taking into consideration the evaluation factors set forth in this RFP. **Should a Proponent not submit any portions of a Required Submittal, they will be deemed non-responsive.**

<b>RELATIVE WEIGHT</b>	<b>GRADED ITEMS</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
5	<b>Executive Summary</b>	
5	<b>Key Resources/Resumes</b>	
25	<b>Technical Approach/Management Plan</b>	
25	<b>Overall Experience, Qualifications and Performance on Previous Projects</b>	
10	<b>Cost Proposal (Total Proposal Amount)</b>	
20	<b>Cost Proposal (Total Financial Impact to the City for Implementation of Proposed Solution)</b>	
10	<b>Financial Conditions</b>	
<b>(100%)</b>	<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	

**End of Instructions to Proponents Section to Include:**

- **Part 1: Information and Instructions to Proponents**
- **Part 2; Contents of Proposals/Required Submittals**
- **Part 3; Evaluation of Proposals**

## **Attachment No.3**

### **Appendix E**

#### **Revised Additional Required Submittals**

- Appendix E.1: Revised Table 1: Body Camera Specifications
  - Appendix E.2: Revised Table 2A: Local Server Option
  - Appendix E.3: Revised Table 2B: Cloud Storage System

**Revised Appendix E.1**  
**Minimum & Optional System Requirements Questionnaire**

**Table 1: Body Camera Specifications**

**Instructions:**

Answer "Y" if your system currently supports this specification.

Answer "N" if your system does not currently support

<b><u>Function</u></b>	<b>Proponent Response</b>
Minimum video resolution of 640 x 480	
Field of View: at least 75 degrees	
Camera system meets MIL-STD 810F Rain and Blowing Rain	
Operating temperatures: 0 to 140 degrees Fahrenheit	
Equipment shall withstand a drop of up to six (6) feet without a protective case, sheath or carrying case	
Configurable A/V Settings: (variable settings adjust for quality and size of data)	
Pre-event buffer: Captures no less than 30 seconds prior	
Battery life: minimum 12 hours for each piece requiring battery	
Power activation: shall have a switch	
Entire system weight: 8 ounces or less	
Adjustable audio tones to alert user of usage and low battery	
Ability to show current battery level and operational status	
Record activation: push button, shall not be easily activated by mistake	
Officer Point of View Mounting	
Charging via USB, wall charger, vehicle charger	
Recording time: Minimum 8 hours	
Data protection: Officer may not delete or edit audio/video from device	
Automated upload to management/storage system	
Camera can charge and upload data simultaneously	
During the Maintenance Period, all replacement cameras will be the most current comparable model available.	
Low light capability: $\leq .1$ lux. The goal is to have a camera that closely matches the capability of human vision	

**Disclaimer:** The Proponent must attached a supplemental narrative to outline all additional and optional functional capabilities, associated with their proposed solution, that are not outlined as a minimum system requirement in the above-referenced chart (e.g. multiple mounting options, GPS, ability to playback video on smart devices, etc.).

## Revised Appendix E.2

**Table 2A: Local Server Option**

**Instructions:**

Answer “Y” if your system currently supports this specification.

Answer “N” if your system does not currently support this specification.

Function	Proponent Response
Management/storage system	
Category based evidence automatic retention policies that can be easily customized	
System stores and supports major digital file types (.mp3, .mp4, .mpeg, .jpg, .pdf, etc.)	
System automatically tracks all system and user activity	
Generates real-time full audit reports to show chain of custody etc.	
Encryption in storage and data transport	
Backup system (elaborate)	
Ability to share videos with third parties outside our network	
Ability to easily create optical disks for court use	
Ability to easily create tags, markers, clips	
Ability to easily redact footage as needed	
Case creation for multiple evidence files	
Searchable fields used to locate users and evidence easily	
Ability to recover deleted evidence within 3 days of deletion	
Controlled access to evidence: customizable roles, permissions, and credentials.	
Automated upload to management/storage system	
Software included to manage and/or view system or evidence from Windows PC	
Software included to manage and/or view system or evidence from portable devices (Android, iOS)	
Minimum of five (5) year storage, catalog, and retrieval of digital evidence capability	
Ability for Officers to add notes to evidence	
Mapping: maps can be created with software tied to evidence GPS	
Video can be played without the need of additional software	

**Revised Appendix E.3**

**Table 2B: Cloud Storage System**

**Instructions:**

Answer “Y” if your system currently supports this specification.

Answer “N” if your system does not currently support this specification.

<b>Function</b>	<b>Proponent Response</b>
Management/storage system	
Category based evidence automatic retention policies that can be easily customized	
System stores and supports major digital file types (.mp3, .mp4, .mpeg, .jpg, .pdf. etc.)	
System automatically tracks all system and user activity	
Generates real-time full audit reports to show chain of custody etc	
Encryption in storage and data transport	
Backup system (elaborate)	
Ability to share videos with third parties outside our network	
Ability to easily create optical disks for court use	
Ability to easily create tags, markers, clips	
Ability to easily redact footage as needed	
Case creation for multiple evidence files	
Searchable fields used to locate users and evidence easily	
Ability to recover deleted evidence within 3 days of deletion	
Controlled access to evidence: customizable roles, permissions, and credentials.	
Software included to manage and/or view system or evidence from Windows pc	
Software included to manage and/or view system or evidence from portable devices (Android, iOS)	
Minimum of five (5) year storage, catalog, and retrieval of digital evidence capability	
Ability for Officers to add notes to evidence	
Mapping: maps can be created with software tied to evidence GPS	
Video can be played without the need of additional software	
City can recover all Cloud data at the end of the contract	